

Between Jerusalem & Rome

Reflections on 50 Years of Nostra Aetate

The 9th of Elul, 5777 | August 31st 2017

FULL VERSION



Rabbinat Council of America



Conference of European Rabbis



Chief Rabbinate of Israel

Between Jerusalem and Rome

Reflections on 50 Years of *Nostra Aetate*¹

Preamble

In the biblical account of creation, God fashions a single human being as the progenitor of all humanity. Thus, the Bible's unmistakable message is that all human beings are members of a single family. And after the deluge of Noah, this message is reinforced when the new phase of history is once again inaugurated by a single family. In the beginning, God's providence is exercised over a universal, undifferentiated humanity.

As God chose Avraham, and subsequently Yitzchak and Yaakov, He entrusted them with a dual mission: to found the nation of Israel that would inherit, settle and establish a model society in the holy, promised land of Israel, all while serving as a source of light for all mankind.

Ever since, particularly in the aftermath of the destruction of the Second Temple in Jerusalem in 70 C.E. by the Romans, we Jews encountered persecution after exile after persecution. And yet, *the*

¹ The following individuals represented the three organizations that are signatories to this document in the committees that authored the document:

For the Conference of European Rabbis: Rabbis Pinchas Goldschmidt (CER president), Arie Folger (chairman of the committee), Yaakov Bleich, Riccardo Di Segni, Bruno Fizon, Jonathan Guttentag, René Gutman, Moché Lewin, Aryeh Rabbag & Yihia Teboul.

For the Rabbinical Council of America: Rabbis Shalom Baum (RCA president), Mark Dratch (RCA executive vice president), Yitzchok Adlerstein, David Berger & Barry Kornblau.

For the Chief Rabbinate of Israel: Rabbi David Rosen and Mr. Oded Wiener.

*Eternal One of Israel does not lie,*¹ and His eternal covenant with the nation of Israel manifested itself time and again: despite the greatest adversities, our nation has endured.² After the darkest hour since the destruction of our holy Temple in Jerusalem, when six million of our brethren were viciously murdered and the embers of their bones were smoldering in the shadows of the Nazi crematoria, God's eternal covenant was once again manifest, as the remnants of Israel gathered their strength and enacted a miraculous reawakening of Jewish consciousness. Communities were reestablished throughout the Diaspora, and many Jews responded to the clarion call to return to Eretz Yisrael, where a sovereign Jewish state arose.

The Jewish people's dual obligations – to be *a light unto the nations*³ and to secure its own future despite the world's hatred and violence – have been overwhelmingly difficult to fulfill. Despite innumerable obstacles, the Jewish nation has bequeathed many blessings upon mankind, both in the realms of the sciences, culture, philosophy, literature, technology and commerce, and in the realms of faith, spirituality, ethics and morality. These, too, are a manifestation of God's eternal covenant with the Jewish people.

Undoubtedly, the Shoah constitutes the historical nadir of the relations between Jews and our non-Jewish neighbors in Europe. Out of the continent nurtured by Christianity for over a millennium, a bitter and evil shoot sprouted forth, murdering six million of our brethren with industrial precision, including one and a half million children. Many of those who participated in this most heinous crime, exterminating entire families and communities, had been nurtured in Christian families and communities.⁴

¹ I Samuel 15:29

² Cf. Genesis 17:7 & 17:19, Leviticus 26:42-45, Deuteronomy 20:3-5, etc.

³ Isaiah 49:6

⁴ Pope John Paul II wrote: "It is appropriate that, as the Second Millennium of Christianity draws to a close, the Church should become more fully conscious of

At the same time, throughout that millennium, even in very dark times, heroic individuals arose – sons and daughters of the Catholic Church, both laymen and leaders – who fought against the persecution of Jews, helping them in the darkest of times.⁵

With the close of World War II, a new era of peaceful coexistence and acceptance began to emerge in Western European countries, and an era of bridge-building and tolerance took hold in many Christian denominations. Faith communities reevaluated their historical rejections of others, and decades of fruitful interaction and cooperation began. Moreover, though we Jews had achieved political emancipation a century or two before, we were not yet truly accepted as equal, full-

the sinfulfulness of her children ...” (Pope John Paul II, Apostolic Letter *Tertio Millennio Adveniente*, 10 November 1994, 33: Acta Apostolicae Sedis 87 (1995), 25.)

The Pontifical Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews wrote: “The fact that the Shoah took place in Europe, that is, in countries of long-standing Christian civilization, raises the question of the relation between the Nazi persecution and the attitudes down the centuries of Christians towards the Jews.” (*We Remember: Reflections on the Shoah*, 16 March 1998)

- ⁵ Two examples among the many such heroes of history are the abbot Bernard of Clairvaux during the Crusades and Jules-Géraud Cardinal Saliège of Toulouse during World War II. When, during the Crusades, a fellow Cistercian monk began exhorting Germans to destroy the Jews before waging war on the Muslims, Abbot Bernard of Clairvaux went personally to put a stop to it. As Rabbi Efraim of Bonn wrote:

One decent priest by the name of Bernard, a great figure and master of all the priests, who knew and understood their religion, said to them: ... “My disciple who preached that the Jews should be destroyed spoke improperly, for it is written of them in the Book of Psalms, ‘Do not kill them lest my people forget.’” All the people regarded this priest as one of their saints, and our investigation did not indicate that he took bribes for speaking well of Israel. When they heard this, many of them stopped their efforts to bring about our deaths. (*Sefer Zekhirah*, ed. by A. M. Haberman, p. 18).

Jules-Géraud Saliège (February 24, 1870—November 5, 1956) was the Catholic Archbishop of Toulouse from 1928 until his death, and was a significant figure in Catholic resistance to the pro-Nazi regime in France. He was made cardinal in 1946 by Pope Pius XII. Yad Vashem recognized him as a Righteous among the Nations for his efforts to protect Jews during the Shoah.

fledged members of the nations in which we lived. Following the Shoah, Jewish emancipation in the Diaspora, as well as the right of the Jewish people to live as a sovereign nation in our own land, finally became obvious and natural.

During the ensuing seven decades, Jewish communities and spiritual leaders gradually reassessed Judaism's relationship with the members and leaders of other faith communities.

Turnaround – *Nostra Aetate*

Fifty years ago, twenty years after the Shoah, with its declaration *Nostra Aetate* (No. 4),¹ the Catholic Church began a process of introspection that increasingly expurgated from Church doctrine any hostility toward Jews, enabling trust and confidence to grow between our respective faith communities.

In this regard, Pope John XXIII was a transformative figure in Jewish-Catholic relations no less than in the history of the Church itself. He played a courageous role in rescuing Jews during the Holocaust, and it was his recognition of the need to revise “the teaching of contempt” that helped overcome resistance to change and ultimately facilitated the adoption of *Nostra Aetate* (no. 4).

It is our understanding that in its most focused, concrete, and, for the Church, most dramatic² assertion, *Nostra Aetate* recognized that any

¹ The main subject of this section is *Nostra Aetate*'s fourth section, which deals particularly with the Catholic Church's relationship to the Jews. So as to read less tediously, henceforth reference will be made to just *Nostra Aetate*, however, throughout our document, it is particularly section 4 that we refer to.

² *Nostra Aetate*'s assertion is rooted in earlier church teachings, such as the Catechism of the Council of Trent, from 1566. Article 4 of that document's section entitled The Creed, relativizes the Jews' imputed guilt by proclaiming that the sinfulness of Christians contributed even more to the crucifixion. Nonetheless, accusations of deicide towards Jews continued for several more centuries. If the accusations became dulled over time, it was more likely on account of the Enlightenment, during which Jew-hatred lost some of its religious

Jew who was not directly and personally involved in the Crucifixion did not bear any responsibility for it.³ Pope Benedict XVI's elaborations and explications of this theme are particularly noteworthy.⁴

In addition, we understand that basing itself on Christian Scriptures, *Nostra Aetate* asserted that the Divine election of Israel, which it calls “the gift of God,” will not be revoked, stating, “God ... does not repent of the gifts He makes or of the calls He issues.” It issued the injunction that “the Jews should not be presented as rejected or accursed by God.” Later, in 2013, Pope Francis elaborated upon this theme in his apostolic exhortation *Evangelii Gaudium*: “God continues to work among the people of the Old Covenant and to bring forth treasures of wisdom which flow from their encounter with His word.”⁵

As we see it, *Nostra Aetate* also paved the way for the Vatican's 1993 establishment of full diplomatic relations with the State of Israel. Through the establishment of this relationship, the Catholic Church showed how it had truly repudiated its portrayal of the Jewish people as a nation condemned to wander until the final advent. This historic moment facilitated Pope John Paul II's pilgrimage to Israel in 2000, which served as another powerful demonstration of a new era in Catholic-Jewish relations. Since then, the last two popes have also made similar state visits.

Nostra Aetate strongly “decries hatred, persecutions, displays of anti-

character in Europe. *Nostra Aetate*, on the other hand, coming on the heels of a Western desire to disavow the kinds of intense Jew-hatred that contributed to the Shoah, was nothing less than revolutionary in bringing about meaningful change in the Catholic Church in this regard.

³ The degree to which even first-century Jews played a role in the crucifixion of Jesus is itself a matter of scholarly controversy, but in terms of internal Christian doctrine, we recognize that absolving all other Jews from any responsibility for the crucifixion is an extremely significant step for the Church.

⁴ In his book *Jesus of Nazareth: Holy Week*, 2011

⁵ Pope Francis, *Evangelii Gaudium*, Vatican 2013, §247, §249

Semitism, directed against Jews at any time and by anyone” as a matter of religious duty. Accordingly, Pope John Paul II repeatedly affirmed that anti-Semitism is “a sin against God and humanity.” At the Western Wall in Jerusalem, he recited the following prayer: “God of our fathers, You chose Abraham and his descendants to bring your Name to the Nations. We are deeply saddened by the behavior of those who in the course of history have caused these children of yours to suffer, and asking your forgiveness we wish to commit ourselves to genuine brotherhood with the people of the Covenant.”

Pope Francis recently recognized a new, pervasive and even fashionable form of anti-Semitism, when he told a World Jewish Congress delegation: “To attack Jews is anti-Semitism, but an outright attack on the State of Israel is also anti-Semitism. There may be political disagreements between governments and on political issues, but the State of Israel has every right to exist in safety and prosperity.”⁶

Finally, *Nostra Aetate* called for fostering “mutual understanding and respect,” and for conducting “fraternal dialogues.” In 1974, Pope Paul VI heeded this call by creating the Pontifical Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews; the Jewish community, in response to this call, has met regularly with Church representatives.

We applaud the work of popes, church leaders, and scholars who passionately contributed to these developments, including the strong-willed proponents of Catholic-Jewish dialogue at the end of World War II, whose collective work was a leading impetus for *Nostra Aetate*. The most important milestones were the Second Vatican Council, the establishment of the Pontifical Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews, the recognition of Judaism as a living religion with an eternal covenant, the appreciation of the significance of the Shoah and its antecedents, and the establishment of diplomatic relations with the

⁶ <http://www.worldjewishcongress.org/en/news/pope-francis-to-make-first-official-visit-to-rome> and <http://edition.cnn.com/2015/10/28/world/pope-jews/>.

State of Israel. The theological writings of the heads of the Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews have contributed much to the Church documents which followed *Nostra Aetate*, as have the writings of numerous other theologians.

In its recent reflections on *Nostra Aetate*, “The Gifts and Calling of God are Irrevocable,” the Pontifical Commission unambiguously endorsed the notion that Jews are participants in God's salvation, calling this idea “an unfathomable divine mystery.”⁷ It further proclaimed that “the Catholic Church neither conducts nor supports any specific institutional mission work directed towards Jews.”⁸ Though the Catholic Church has not disavowed witnessing to Jews, we understand that it has nonetheless shown understanding and sensitivity towards deeply held Jewish sensibilities, and distanced itself from active mission to Jews.

The evident transformation of the attitude of the Church toward the Jewish community is strikingly exemplified by the recent visit of Pope Francis to a synagogue, which renders him the third Pope to make this highly significant gesture. We echo his comment, “From enemies and strangers we have become friends and brothers. It is my hope that closeness, mutual understanding and respect between our two communities continue to grow.”

These welcoming attitudes and actions stand in stark contrast with centuries of teachings of contempt and of pervasive hostility, and herald a most encouraging chapter in an epic process of societal transformation.

⁷ The Gifts and Calling of God are Irrevocable, Pontifical Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews, 2015 , §36-§39.

⁸ Ibid. §40

Evaluation and Reevaluation

Initially, many Jewish leaders¹ were skeptical of the sincerity of the Church's overtures to the Jewish community, due to the long history of Christian anti-Judaism. Over time, it has become clear that the transformations in the Church's attitudes and teachings are not only sincere but also increasingly profound, and that we are entering an era of growing tolerance, mutual respect, and solidarity between members of our respective faiths.

Orthodox Judaism – through the American Orthodox Union and the Rabbinical Council of America – had already been a part of the International Jewish Committee for Interreligious Consultations (IJCIC) set up in the late sixties, as the official Jewish representative for relations with the Vatican. A new page in the relations of Orthodox Judaism with the Catholic Church was turned with the establishment of the bilateral committee of the Chief Rabbinate of Israel with the Vatican, commencing in 2002 under the chairmanship of the chief rabbi of Haifa Rabbi She'ar Yashuv Cohen. The published declarations from the thirteen meetings of this bilateral commission (alternating annually between Rome and Jerusalem) carefully avoid matters pertaining to fundamentals of faith, but rather address a broad spectrum of contemporary social and scientific challenges, highlighting shared values while respecting the differences between the two faith traditions.

We acknowledge that this fraternity cannot sweep away our doctrinal differences; it does, rather, reinforce genuine mutual positive dispositions towards fundamental values that we share, including but

¹ See for example Rabbi Moshe Feinstein, *Responsa Iggerot Moshhe, Yoreh De'ah* Vol. 3, §43, as well as French Chief Rabbi Jacob Kaplan in his remarks cited in *Droit et liberté*, December 1964, and in *Hamodia*, 16th of September 1965. Each identified areas where skepticism was warranted.

not limited to reverence for the Hebrew Bible.²

The theological differences between Judaism and Christianity are profound. The core beliefs of Christianity that center on the person of “Jesus as the Messiah“ and the embodiment of the “second person of a triune God” create an irreconcilable separation from Judaism. The history of Jewish martyrdom in Christian Europe serves as tragic testimony to the devotion and tenacity with which Jews resisted beliefs incompatible with their ancient and eternal faith, which requires absolute fidelity to both the Written and Oral Torah. Despite those profound differences, some of Judaism’s highest authorities have asserted that Christians maintain a special status because they worship the Creator of Heaven and Earth Who liberated the people of Israel from Egyptian bondage and Who exercises providence over all creation.³

The doctrinal differences are essential and cannot be debated or negotiated; their meaning and importance belong to the internal deliberations of the respective faith communities. Judaism, drawing its particularity from its received Tradition, going back to the days of its glorious prophets and particularly to the Revelation at Sinai, will forever remain loyal to its principles, laws and eternal teachings. Furthermore, our interfaith discussions are informed by the profound insights of such great Jewish thinkers as Rabbi Joseph Ber Soloveitchik,⁴ Rabbi Lord Immanuel Jakobovits,⁵ and many others,

² *Commentary to Song of Songs* (attributed to Nahmanides), in *Kitve ha-Ramban*, ed. Chavel, vol. II, pgs. 502-503; Ralbag, *Milhamot*, ed. Leipzig, pg. 356 and *Commentary to the Torah*, ed. Venice, pg. 2.

³ Tosafot *Sanhedrin* 63b, s.v. *Asur*; Rabbenu Yeruham ben Meshullam, *Toledot Adam ve-Havvah* 17:5; R. Moses Isserles to Shulhan Arukh, *Orah Hayyim* 156:2; R. Moses Rivkes, *Be'er ha-Golah* to Shulhan Arukh *Hoshen Mishpat* 226:1 & 425:5; R. Samson Raphael Hirsch, *Principles of Education*, “*Talmudic Judaism and Society*,” pgs. 225-227.

⁴ Most notably in his essay “*Confrontation*,” *Tradition: A Journal of Orthodox Thought*. 6.2 (1964).

⁵ See, for example, his “*The Timely and the Timeless*,” London 1977, pgs. 119-

who eloquently argued that the religious experience is a private one which can often only be truly understood within the framework of its own faith community.

However, doctrinal differences do not and may not stand in the way of our peaceful collaboration for the betterment of our shared world and the lives of the children of Noah.⁶ To further this end, it is crucial that our faith communities continue to encounter and grow acquainted with one another, and earn each other's trust.

Therefore We Declare

Despite the irreconcilable theological differences, we Jews view Catholics as our partners, close allies, friends and brothers in our mutual quest for a better world blessed with peace, social justice and security.

We understand our Jewish mission to include being *a light unto the nations*, which obliges us to contribute to humanity's appreciation for holiness, morality and piety. As the Western world grows more and more secular, it abandons many of the moral values shared by Jews and Christians. Religious freedom is thus increasingly threatened by the forces of both secularism and religious extremism. We therefore seek the partnership of the Catholic community in particular, and other faith communities in general, to assure the future of religious freedom, to foster the moral principles of our faiths, particularly the sanctity of

121.

⁶ The press statement issued at the fourth bilateral meeting between the Chief Rabbinate of Israel and the Holy See, in Grottaferrata (Rome, October 17-19, 2004) is particularly notable in this regard. It stated: "Conscious of the fact that there is not wide enough awareness in our respective communities of the momentous change that has taken place in the relationship between Catholics and Jews; and in light of our own committee's work and our current discussions on a shared vision for a just and ethical society; we declare: We are not enemies, but unequivocal partners in articulating the essential moral values for the survival and welfare of human society."

life and the significance of the traditional family, and “to cultivate the moral and religious conscience of society.” One of the lessons of the Shoah is the obligations, for Jews as well as gentiles, to combat antisemitism in particular, especially in light of once again growing antisemitism. These lessons have to be expressed both in the educational and in the legal spheres of all nations, without compromise.

Furthermore, as a people who suffered from persecution and genocide throughout our history, we are all too aware of the very real danger facing many Christians in the Middle East and elsewhere; as they are persecuted and menaced by violence and death at the hands of those who invoke God's Name in vain through violence and terror.

We condemn hereby any and all violence against any person on account of his beliefs or his religion. We similarly condemn all acts of vandalism, wanton destruction and / or desecration of the hallowed places of all religions.

We call upon the Church to join us in deepening our combat against our generation's new barbarism, namely the radical offshoots of Islam, which endanger our global society and does not spare the very numerous moderate Muslims. It threatens world peace in general and the Christian and Jewish communities in particular. We call on all people of good will to join forces to fight this evil.

Despite profound theological differences, Catholics and Jews share common beliefs in the Divine origin of the Torah and in the idea of an ultimate redemption, and now, also, in the affirmation that religions must use moral behavior and religious education — not war, coercion, or social pressure — to influence and inspire.

We ordinarily refrain from expressing expectations regarding other faith communities' doctrines. However, certain kinds of doctrines cause real suffering; those Christian doctrines, rituals and teachings that

express negative attitudes toward Jews and Judaism do inspire and nurture anti-Semitism. Therefore, to extend the amicable relations and common causes cultivated between Catholics and Jews as a result of *Nostra Aetate*, we call upon all Christian denominations that have not yet done so to follow the example of the Catholic Church and excise anti-Semitism from their liturgy and doctrines, to end the active mission to Jews, and to work towards a better world hand-in-hand with us, the Jewish people.

We seek to deepen our dialogue and partnership with the Church in order to foster our mutual understanding and to advance the goals outlined above. We seek to find additional ways that will enable us, together, to improve the world: to go in God's ways, feed the hungry and dress the naked, give joy to widows and orphans, provide refuge to the persecuted and the oppressed, and thus merit His blessings.

The 9th of Elul, 5777 (August 31st 2017)

For the CER:

Rabbi Pinchas
Goldschmidt,
CER President

Rabbi Dr. Riccardo
Di Segni,
CER Vice President

Rabbi Arie Folger,
Chairman of the Nostra Aetate
Response Committee

For the Chief Rabbinate of Israel:

Rabbi Dr. Rason Arussi,
Chairman of the Commission of the Chief Rabbinate of Israel
for Interreligious Affairs

For the RCA:

Rabbi Elazar Muskin, Rabbi Mark Dratch, Rabbi Dr. David Berger

About the Signatory Organizations

CER

The Conference of European Rabbis (CER) is the primary rabbinical alliance in Europe. It unites more than 700 religious leaders of the mainstream synagogue communities in Europe. The conference is designed to maintain and defend the religious rights of Jews in Europe and has become the voice of Judaism for the European continent.

Chief Rabbinate of Israel

The Chief Rabbinate of Israel is recognized by Israeli law as the head of religious law and spiritual authority for the Jewish people in Israel. A Chief Rabbinate Council assists the two chief rabbis, who alternate in its presidency. It has legal and administrative authority to organize religious arrangements for Israel's Jews. It also responds to halakhic questions submitted by Jewish public bodies in the Diaspora. By law, the chief rabbinate has jurisdiction over marriage and divorce, burials of Jews, conversion to Judaism, establishing Jewish identity, supervision of the rabbinical courts system, kosher certification and supervision of holy sites.

RCA

The Rabbinical Council of America, with national headquarters in New York City, is a professional organization serving more than 1000 Orthodox Rabbis in the United States of America, Canada, Israel, and around the world. Membership is comprised of duly ordained Orthodox Rabbis who serve in positions of the congregational

rabbinate, Jewish education, chaplaincies, and other allied fields of Jewish communal work.

How and Why this Declaration Came About

Arie Folger
Chairman of the ad hoc
Nostra Aetate response committee

Interfaith declarations are not easy for Judaism. First of all, having a very highly developed religious law — Halacha — which it is bound to and cannot change by fiat, all declarations that purport to be expressions of traditional, Orthodox Judaism need to stay clear of ideas proscribed either by Jewish law or philosophy.

Moreover, as the most ancient of monotheistic faiths, it cannot simply incorporate new theologies, especially not such ideas that were the cause for the schisms that prompted the establishment of later faith communities. The particular way in which Christianity claims compatibility and continuity between what it calls the Old and New Testaments simply does not work for Judaism's relationship to the Hebrew Bible, which is quite distinct from how a Catholic, for example, relates to the same text. Likewise, the manner in which Muslims interpret biblical prophets to make them fit Islamic theology may work for a Muslim, but not for a Jew, who sees those self same people differently, through the lens of his own religious tradition.

Furthermore, it cannot be denied that throughout its history, the Jewish People has repeatedly experienced forced conversions, been the target of intensive missionizing by majority faiths, and subject to persecution and exclusion on behalf of its otherness, in majority societies' desire to stamp out Judaism and its unique message. The tenacity with which Jews held on to their ancestral faith in the face of the threats of even torture and death testifies to the profound importance Jews and Judaism have always ascribed to loyalty to Jewish principles.

Finally, as a minority religion, a small minority religion, Judaism

cannot rely on sheer demographic power to maintain fealty to its core doctrines while opening up too much to the earth-flattening societal influence of majority religions with hundreds of times as many adherents. Opening too much or too fast will expose it to unwanted and unwarranted pressures to adapt doctrines so as to please newly open majority society.

The import of the above vectors was explored and then succinctly summarized by Rabbi Joseph Ber Soloveitchik in his pioneering essay *Confrontation*.¹ They may be illustrated by the following choice quotes:

First, we must state, in unequivocal terms, the following. We are a totally independent faith community. ... As a faith individuality, the community of the few is endowed with intrinsic worth which must be viewed against its own historical backdrop without relating to the framework of another faith community. ... The small community has as much right to profess its faith in the ultimate certitude concerning the doctrinal worth of its world formula and to behold its own eschatological vision as does the community of the many. ...

Second, the logos, the word, in which the multifarious religious experience is expressed does not lend itself to standardization or universalization. ... Hence it is important that the religious or theological logos should not be employed as the medium of communication between two faith communities whose mode of expression are as unique as their apocalyptic vision. The confrontation should not occur at a theological, but at a mundane level. ...

Third, we members of the community of the few should always act with tact and understanding and refrain from suggesting to the community of the many, which is both proud and prudent, changes in its ritual or emendations of its texts. ... Non-interference with and non-involvement in

¹ Soloveitchik, Joseph B., *Confrontation*, Tradition 6:2, 1964. Reprinted in "A Treasury of Tradition", Hebrew Publishing Co, NY, 1967.

something which is totally alien to us is a *conditio sine qua non* for the furtherance of good-will and mutual respect. ...

Fourth, we certainly have not been authorized by our history, sanctified by the martyrdom of millions, to even hint to another faith community that we are mentally ready to revise historical attitudes, to trade favors pertaining to fundamental matters of faith, and to reconcile “some” differences.

Subsequent to the publication of Rabbi Soloveitchik’s essay, in 1964, the Rabbinical Council of America adopted a resolution that incorporated a number of phrases of Rabbi Soloveitchik and contained veiled references to other phrases. Unlike some other Orthodox rabbinic groups, the RCA did open up to interfaith work with the Catholic Church, but hews to this very day to the principles laid out by its illustrious gray eminence. Many Orthodox rabbis from outside the USA similarly adopted these guidelines.

However, whereas in *Confrontation*, one still senses a tremendous uneasiness, a concern that interfaith cooperation may work to erase the distinctiveness of the “community of the few” and attempt even subconsciously, by peaceful means, that which the violent means of past generations did not achieve, nowadays, with over 50 years of hindsight, we may confidently state that the process set into motion with the Second Vatican Council and the publication of *Nostra Aetate* No. 4 has demonstrated a genuine desire to repair the sins of historical anti Judaism, and increasingly to distance itself from missionizing or otherwise undermine the distinctiveness of the “community of the few.”

Thus, close to fifty years after the publication of *Nostra Aetate*, the Standing Committee of the Conference of European Rabbis, as it met in Athens in November 2015 for its semianual meeting, explored, debated and finally decided that we ought to recognize, not as individuals, but as religious representatives of the traditional, Orthodox Jewish Community, the significance and success of *Nostra Aetate* No.

4. Furthermore, it was immediately decided to reach out to the religious representatives of traditional, Orthodox Judaism in Israel and in North America, so that our response be more representative and more impactful.

A Committee was formed from among the members of the CER's Standing Committee, and both the Chief Rabbinate of Israel and the Rabbinical Council of America were asked to each form their own committees or appoint their own representatives to draft and comment on our joint response.

The following individuals represented the three organizations that are signatories to this document in the committees that authored the document²:

- For the Conference of European Rabbis: Rabbis Pinchas Goldschmidt (CER president), Arie Folger (chairman of the committee), Yaakov Bleich, Riccardo Di Segni, Bruno Fizon, Jonathan Guttentag, René Gutman, Moché Lewin, Aryeh Ralbag & Yihia Teboul.
- For the Rabbinical Council of America: Rabbis Shalom Baum (RCA president), Mark Dratch (RCA executive vice president), Yitzchok Adlerstein, David Berger & Barry Kornblau.
- For the Chief Rabbinate of Israel: Rabbi David Rosen and Mr. Oded Wiener.

Our response is directed towards the Catholic Church, but it could not be drafted without being solidly anchored in our own Judaism, in our

² We are also grateful to the following individuals (listed alphabetically), who saw and commented upon early drafts of our declaration:

- Prof. Alan Brill, Cooperman/Ross Endowed Chair for Jewish-Christian Studies at Seton Hall University
- Dr. Zion Evrony, Israeli ambassador to the Vatican
- Cardinal Kurt Koch, President to the Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews
- Dr. Andreas Verhülsdonk from the Secretariat of the German Bishops' Conference.

Jewish theology and in our understanding of our role in history and in the contemporary world. What does it mean to be both a Jew, member of the parochial, distinct Jewish community, and a human being, member of the universal human race? How do we mediate between our concerns as Jews and as people? We turned to our holy Torah for inspiration and understanding, as can be seen from the preamble to our declaration.